1	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP		
2	A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations		
3	GUY N. HALGREN, Cal. Bar No. 115732 ghalgren@sheppardmullin.com		
4	SAMANTHA D. HARDY, Cal. Bar No. 199 shardy@sheppardmullin.com	9125	
5	RACHEL A. MILLER, Cal. Bar No. 247023 rmiller@sheppardmullin.com	3	
6	501 West Broadway, 19th Floor		
7	San Diego, California 92101-3598 Telephone: 619-338-6500 Facsimile: 619-234-3815		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	FRITO-LAY, INC. and ROLLING FRITO-LAY SALES, LP		
10			
11		DISTRICT COURT	
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALII	FORNIA – SOUTHERN DIVISION	
13		1	
14	EDWARD DE STEFAN, individually and on behalf of other members of the public	Case No. SACV10-112-DOC (MLG)	
15	similarly situated,	DEFENDANTS FRITO-LAY, INC. AND ROLLING FRITO-LAY	
16	Plaintiff,	SALES, LP'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR	
17	V.	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS	
18	FRITO LAY, INC., ROLLING FRITO- LAY SALES, LP and DOES 1-10,	CERTIFICATION	
19	inclusive,	Date: May 9, 2011 Time: 8:30 a.m.	
20	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. David O. Carter Ctrm: 9D	
21		[Second Amended Complaint Filed:	
22		September 30, 2010]	
23		Trial Date: October 11, 2011.	
24		[Concurrently filed with Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification,	
25		Request for Judicial Notice, Appendix of Foreign Authorities, Declaration of Samantha D. Hardy and Declaration of	
26		Rachel A. Miller]	
27			
28			
A	W02-WEST:8RAM1\403439549.1 USDC Case No. SACV10-112-DOC (MLG)	DEFENDANTS' APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ISO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS	

W02-WEST:8RAM1\403439549.1 USDC Case No. SACV10-112-DOC (MLG)

DEFENDANTS' APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ISO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

TO PLAINTIFF AND HIS COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Attached are the following Exhibits submitted in support of Defendants' Frito-Lay, Inc. and Rolling Frito-Lay Sales, LP's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
	True and correct copies of relevant pages from the
	deposition transcript of Plaintiff Edward De
A	Stefan that are cited in support of Defendants'
	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class
	Certification.
	True and correct copies of relevant pages from the
В	deposition transcript of Person Most
	Knowledgeable Karen Sheeley that are cited in
	support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's
	Motion for Class Certification.
С	A true and correct copy of a summary chart
	entitled, "Chart of Plaintiff's Theories and
	Contradictory Testimony."
D	Route Bid Sheet, signed by Plaintiff (Exhibit 2 to
	Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
	Acknowledgement of Route Sales Employee
E	Handbook, signed by Plaintiff (Exhibit 4 to
	Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
F	Charpentier v. Frito-Lay, Inc. Class Notice
	(Exhibit 21 to Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
G	Charpentier v. Frito-Lay, Inc. Claim Form/FLSA
	Consent Form, signed by Plaintiff (Exhibit 20 to
	Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
Н	Check for \$472.13 to Plaintiff (Exhibit 19 to
	Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
I	"Commission Formula & Rules" document
	(Exhibit 23 to Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
J K	"Route Compensation: Base and Commission
	Accounting (BCOM)" document (Exhibit 24 to
	Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
	Frito-Lay Employee Handbook, RSR Edition
	2005 (Exhibit 3 to Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
L	"Standards of Performance (Salesman's

W02-WEST:8RAM1:403439549.1 USDC Case No, SACV10-112-DOC (MLG) DEFENDANTS' APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ISO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

	The state of the s	
1	41 1	Responsibility)" document (Exhibit 5 to Plaintiff's
2		leposition transcript)
3	11 1 (V)	Written Warning – Job Performance to Plaintiff Exhibit 6 to Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
		Written Warning – Job Performance to
4	f1	Plaintiff (Exhibit 7 to Plaintiff's deposition
5		ranscript) Daily Report for Plaintiff (Exhibit 9 to Plaintiff's
6	11 1 1	leposition transcript)
7	P	Statement of Earnings Details for Plaintiff
		Exhibit 25 to Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
8		Field Sales Compensation – Salesperson Earnings Report for Plaintiff (Exhibit 26 to Plaintiff's
9	11	leposition transcript)
10	1)	step 4 – Termination Letter to Plaintiff (Exhibit
11		0 to Plaintiff's deposition transcript)
12	Dated: April 18, 2011	
13	_	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
14		SHELLARD, MOLLIN, RICHTER & HAMILTON LEF
15		By /s/ Samantha D. Hardy
16		GUY N. HALGREN
		SAMANTHA D. HARDY
17		RACHEL A. MILLER
18		Attorneys for Defendants
19		FRITO-LAY, INC. and ROLLING FRITO-LAY
20		SALES, LP shardy@sheppardmullin.com
21		snardy@sneppardmumn.com
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
ا ن		-2-
mu and the second	W02-WEST:8RAM1403439549.1 USDC Case No. SACV10-112-DOC (MLG	DEFENDANTS' APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ISO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION